

## ISO/IEC JTC 1 Special Working Group on Accessibility (SWG-A)

### JTC 1 SWG-A N 533

2013-01-02

**Document Type:** NB Contribution

**Title:** Japanese National Body Contribution in Response to SWG-A N 529 - SC 36 Comments on the New NWIP Template

**Source:** Japanese National Body

**Due Date:** n/a

**Requested Action:** As agreed during the 28 November 2012 teleconference:

The JTC 1 SWG-Accessibility participants were invited to submit comments on JTC 1 N 11243 - SC 36 Proposed Changes to the New JTC 1 NWIP Form (contained in SWG-A N 529) via the SWG-A reflector not later than 31 December 2012. The SWG-Accessibility Convenor (Mr. Li) will review the contributions and prepare a draft SWG-Accessibility response to JTC 1 N 11243 by 15 January 2013. The draft response will be circulated via the reflector for review in advance of the 7 February 2013 SWG-Accessibility teleconference. The JTC 1 SWG on Accessibility response to JTC 1 N 11243 - SC 36 Proposed Changes to the New JTC 1 NWIP Form, are due by 11 February 2013 for consideration at the March 2013 SWG-Directives meeting.

21 December 2012

Alex, Jennifer,

Attached please find our comments on the SC36 proposal.

Have a happy holiday season.

JNB  
(Hajime Yamada)

## **SWG-A JNB comments on JTC 1 N 11243 - SC 36 Proposed Changes to the New JTC 1 NWIP Form**

### **General comment:**

If a National Body proposes NWIP by checking the box “No” in the question “Are there any known accessibility requirements and/or dependencies?” but another National Body considers “Yes,” the latter National Body can make comments when voting to NWIP. Therefore, the NWIP does not definitively determine accessibility requirements will be included in the new standard or not.

### **7.1 Replace “human functioning” with “individual accessibility”**

#### **Comment:**

- It is appropriate to keep the current draft.

#### **Reason:**

- Appearance of the word “accessibility” in the Note f) Accessibility is a tautology.

### **7.2 Replace current text for “f) Accessibility” with ...**

#### **Comment:**

- It is appropriate to keep the current draft.

#### **Reasons:**

- Items provided in the proposed f) 1), 2) and 3) only represent a portion of user requirements listed in the ISO/IEC TR 29138-1:2009 “User needs summary.” A National Body that proposes NWIP may misunderstand that a standard developer must only take care these three items in the new standard.
- If it is a standard of a system, it is necessary to provide variety of input methods (e.g. mouse, keyboard, gesture, voice and so on) to support variety of user needs. However, if it is a standard of a single input method e.g. mouse, it is not necessary to support variety of users but users that can operate a mouse. The proposed f) 3) make an excessive demand to a standard developer of individual input and/or output methods.
- The UN Convention has not been ratified by all JTC1 NB countries.